

RECEIVED  
BY MAIL

JAN 30 2009

U. S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MO  
EDWIN JONES, LOUIS

Petitioner,

v.

STEVE LARKINS,  
Respondent.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTER DIVISION

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
Case No. 4:08-CV-1646 JCH

FILED

JAN 30 2009

U. S. DISTRICT COURT  
E. DISTRICT OF MO.

So *recd*  
JEB  
2/2/09

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO RESPONDENT'S ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
PETITIONER'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED.

Comes Now Petitioner Edwin Jones, Pro Se and states as follows in support of his motion for extension of time.

1. The Petitioner has 20 day from the date of the certificate to respond to the Respondent's Motion asking the court to denied Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus which I received on today which is January 26, 2009.
2. Petitioner is a "LAYMAN" at law and needs time to properly go over the Respondent's Motion and case law to make a proper response.
3. The Petitioner is only allowed a short time to spend in the Law Library to research case law and there for Petitioner is requesting a 30 day extension to file a response.
4. The request for extension is not designed to vex or harass Respondent.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons herein stated, Petitioner prays that the Court grant him an extension up to February 26, 2009, in which to respond to Respondent's Motion.

#10